



## 國科會八十九年度專題研究計畫成果報告

計畫名稱:食用植物抑制麴菌及念珠菌生長及臨床應用之研究

編號: NSC89-2320-B-040-023

執行期限: 88-8-1 至 89-7-31

主持人: 殷梅津

共同主持人: 曹世明

執行機關: 中山醫學院營養學系

### 一、中文摘要:

本實驗室旨在探討數種食用植物抑制院內黴菌感染的臨床效應。過去的研究發現許多蔥科植物、香辛料植物及酚類化合物都可以有效的抑制數種臨床常見的麴菌及念珠菌的生長。因而認為這些日常食用的蔥科植物、香辛植物及其成份應該有臨床應用的可能。而且這些食用植物在臨床應用上也有著高安全性、高接受性、低副作用、低成本等優點。

關鍵字: 食用植物、黴菌感染

### Abstract

*Candida* species and *Aspergillus* species are two major fungi responsible for the nosocomial fungal infections occurred in many hospitals. The clinical antifungal agents, amphotericin B and azole compounds, are seldom effective because of severe adverse reactions such as renal toxicity. Therefore, our laboratory are looking for the edible plant foods with antifungal activity. The dependence, required dose and side-effect of these drugs can be reduced if patients receive the antifungal components from foods.

Keywords: plant foods, fungal infection

### 二、緣由與目的:

由於抗生素的大量濫用使得院內黴菌感染(如念珠菌血症 *Candidemia*, 麴菌瘤 *Aspergilloma*)的機會大增。引發院內黴菌感染較常見的菌種為念珠菌(*Candida*)及麴菌(*Aspergillus*)兩大類。其中又以 *Candida krusei*, *C. glabrata*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. fumigatus* 最為頑強。用來治療這些黴菌感染的藥物為 amphotericin B 及 azole 類的藥物,但是由於這些藥物因副作用(如腎毒性)較強而在使用時有許多限制。因此,本實驗室旨在探討數種食用植物及其成份抑制院內黴菌感染之念珠菌及麴菌的療效。

本研究的目的是有二: 1) 找尋具有抑制黴菌生長的食用植物, 確認其抑菌成份, 並研討食物及其抑菌成份與藥物間的交互作用。2) 將

食用植物及其抑菌成份應用於臨床之感染患者以肯定其單獨療效或與藥物間的輔助療效。

### 三、結果與討論：

本研究的結果顯示許多食用植物的萃出液及其內的特定成份，如薑黃素(curcumin)不但可以殺死這些黴菌，還可以與 amphotericin B、fluconazole (臨床上用來治療黴菌感染的藥物)產生加成(additive)或加乘(synergistic)效果(附件一)。如此不但可以抑制黴菌生長，還可以減少藥物的使用劑量。

本研究中發現大蒜和韭菜的主要抑黴菌成份是出現在其萃出液的油層。於是，本實驗室以大蒜和韭菜製作的 steam-distilled oil 來作抑菌的實驗。結果發現，這些 steam-distilled oil 對這些黴菌都有很強的抑制效果。然後，再經由成份分析確認這些 steam-distilled oil 中含有大量的 sulfides 及 thiosulfinates，其中 diallyl sulfide, diallyl disulfide, diallyl trisulfide, diallyl tetrasulfide 等成份都被確認具有抑制黴菌生長的功效(附件二)。

上述研究成果的臨床應用(即研究目的的第二項)，目前尚進行當中。

### 四、計畫成果自評：

在預定的時間內達成了擬定的研究目的的第一項。並將具體成果撰寫為兩份 manuscripts，並投稿至 SCI journals，其中一份已經刊登，另一份也已經被 revised。因而自評：成果尚佳。

### 五、參考文獻

1. 殷梅津、張淑君、蘇國雄 食用植物抑制黑麴菌及白色念珠菌生長之研究 食品科學 1997;24:384-388.
2. Yin MC, Cheng WS. Inhibition of *A. niger* and *A. flavus* by some spice plants. *J Food Pro* 1998;61:123-125.
3. Yin MC, Tsao SM. Inhibitory effect of seven *Allium* plants upon three *Aspergillus* species. *Int J Food Microbiol* 1999;49:49-56.
4. Saral R. *Candida* and *Aspergillus* infections in immunocompromised patients: an overview. *Rev Infect Dis* 1991;13:487-492.
5. Martino P, Girmenia C, Micozzi A. Fungemia in patients with leukemia. *Am J Med Sci* 1993;306:225-232.
6. Khoo SH, Denning DW. Invasive aspergillosis in patients with AIDS. *Clin Infect Dis* 1994;19:41-48.

# Enhanced Inhibitory Effect from Interaction of Curcumin with Amphotericin B or Fluconazole against *Candida* Species

SHYH-MING TSAO<sup>1</sup> AND MEI-CHIN YIN<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Chungshan Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, R.O.C.

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Nutritional Science, Chungshan Medical & Dental College, No. 110, Sec. 1, Chien Kuo N. Rd., Taichung, Taiwan, R.O.C.

(Received: March 16, 2000; Accepted: June 27, 2000)

## ABSTRACT

The antifungal activity of curcumin against seven *Candida* species was studied by investigating the growth of 200 clinical isolates from patients with fungal infections. The MICs of curcumin against *Candida* species were in the range of 32 to 128  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ . The interaction of curcumin with amphotericin B or fluconazole against these fungi was determined by FIC index and % reduction in turbidity. Synergistic effect was shown in all combinations of curcumin and amphotericin B; whereas both synergistic and additive effects were observed in the combinations of curcumin and fluconazole. This evidence suggests that when curcumin is combined with amphotericin B or fluconazole, it could provide greater fungicidal effects for the treatment of systemic fungal infections such as candidiasis and candidemia.

Key words: curcumin, amphotericin B, fluconazole, *Candida* species

## INTRODUCTION

Candidiasis and candidemia are very common nosocomial fungal infections occurring in many hospitals. Immunocompromised patients such as those with organ transplants, cancer, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection or prolonged antibiotic treatments are susceptible to fungal infections<sup>(1-3)</sup>. These fungal infections might be fatal if antifungal treatment is not prescribed. The common isolates of candidiasis or candidemia are *Candida albican*, *C. krusei*, *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. guilliermondii*, in which *C. albican* is the most common; however, *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata* have become increasingly important for hospitalized patients<sup>(2-4)</sup>.

Amphotericin B belongs to the class of polyenes and is a clinically popular antifungal agent. However, the clinical use of amphotericin B is limited because of severe adverse reactions such as diarrhea, malnutrition and progressive renal toxicity<sup>(5-8)</sup>. Azole compounds such as fluconazole (FCZ), itraconazole (ICZ) are another class of antifungal agents used for systemic fungal infections. These azoles are less toxic than amphotericin B<sup>(7,8)</sup>; however, some side effects of azoles have been reported<sup>(9)</sup>. In order to cure fungal infections successfully and to lower the dose of amphotericin B or azoles, there is a need for the development of less toxic antifungal agent, or to find one that is able to work with amphotericin B or azoles additively or synergistically.

Curcumin, a yellow phenolic compound isolated from turmeric (*Curcuma longa*), is responsible for the yellow color of turmeric and curry. Based on its safe property, it has long been used as a spice, food preservative and food coloring agent in India and Southeast Asia<sup>(10,11)</sup>. The content of cur-

cumin in turmeric is 1-5% (or 4-8% of dry weight); and 40% in turmeric oleoresin<sup>(11)</sup>. Many studies have proven that curcumin has several important pharmacological properties such as antioxidant, antimutagenic and antitumor activities<sup>(12-14)</sup>. Therefore, it is being evaluated as a chemopreventive agent by the National Cancer Institute. Li *et al.*<sup>(15)</sup> indicated that curcumin could block HIV-1 replication by inhibiting the activity of its long terminal repeat; moreover, curcumin could work with a reverse transcriptase inhibitor (e.g. dideoxyinosine) on HIV-1 synergistically. Although curcumin is a potent anti-viral agent<sup>(15,19)</sup>, it remains unknown whether curcumin is an antifungal agent for *Candida* species.

This study was aimed to assay the *in vitro* inhibitory effect of curcumin against seven *Candida* species. The interactions of curcumin with amphotericin B or fluconazole against these fungi were also studied.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### I. Fungi Strains and Medium

Seven *Candida* species (*Candida albican*, *C. krusei*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. kefyr*, *C. guilliermondii*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. glabrata*) were isolated from patients with fungal infections such as candidiasis or candidemia in the Chungshan Hospital (Taichung, Taiwan). A total of 200 isolates were tested in this study. All isolates were identified by conventional methods<sup>(16)</sup>. All cultures were routinely maintained on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Difco, Detroit, MI) at 25°C before use.

### II. Antifungal Agents

Curcumin was purchased from Sigma Chem. Co. (St. Louis, MO). Amphotericin B (AMB) and fluconazole (FCZ)

\* Author for correspondence. Tel: 04-4730022 ext. 1753; Fax: 04-4739030; E-mail: mcyin@mercury.csmc.edu.tw

were prepared from pharmaceutical solutions in sterile water. All solutions were filtered through 0.22  $\mu\text{M}$  filter for sterilization.

### III. Antimicrobial Assays

All agents were further diluted with RPMI 1640 medium (1:5, v/v). The broth macrodilution method was performed as described in National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) document M27-A<sup>(17)</sup>. The final inoculum was  $2 \times 10^3$  CFU/mL and was confirmed by plating 10 and 100  $\mu\text{L}$  from the agent-free control tube onto Sabouraud dextrose agar. The final volume was 1 mL. The agent concentrations ranged from 256 to 0.0625  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ . Agent-free and fungi-free controls were included. The turbidity was measured at 530 nm by a spectrophotometer after 48 hr incubation at 35°C in RPMI 1640 medium containing 0.165 M morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) (pH 7.0). The MIC was defined as the concentration which produced an 80% reduction in turbidity, compared with that of controls. According to the standard of NCCLS, the isolates were classified as susceptible if the MIC was  $\leq 8$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ; resistant if the MIC was  $\geq 64$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ; susceptible but dose dependent if the MIC was 8–64  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ .

### IV. Interaction of Curcumin with AMB or FCZ

The effects of combinations of amphotericin B or fluconazole with curcumin were evaluated by the checkerboard method recommended by the NCCLS. One hundred  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquots of each drug at 10X the targeted final concentration was used. Drug interaction was classified as synergistic, additive or less-than-additive based on the fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index, which is the sum of FICs for each drug. The FIC of each drug was calculated as the MIC of this drug in combined treatment divided by that of the drug used alone. Drug-drug interactions are considered synergistic if the FIC index was less than 1.0; additive if the FIC was equal to 1.0; less-than-additive if the FIC index was greater than 1.0. The interaction of curcumin with amphotericin B or fluconazole were examined by combining 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 MIC AMB (or FCZ) with curcumin at various MIC values. The total MIC values in each combination were  $\leq 1$ . The final inoculum was  $2 \times 10^3$  CFU/mL and the final volume was 1 mL. The turbidity of each combination was then measured at 530 nm by a spectrophotometer after 48 hr incu-

bation at 35°C in RPMI 1640 medium containing 0.165 M (MOPS) (pH 7.0).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The MICs of curcumin, amphotericin B and fluconazole against *Candida* species are presented in Table 1. The inhibitory effect of amphotericin B and fluconazole against these *Candida* species has been studied<sup>(9,18)</sup>. It was reported that the MICs of amphotericin B and fluconazole were in the range of 0.125–2 and 0.25–128  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , respectively. The observed MICs (Table 1) of amphotericin B and fluconazole in our present study were close to those of previous studies. It was reported that fluconazole is inactive to *C. krusei* and the MIC<sub>90</sub> was 128  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ <sup>(9)</sup>. In our present study, the MIC<sub>80</sub> of fluconazole against *C. krusei* was 128  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ . This result supported that *C. krusei* was resistant to fluconazole.

The MIC<sub>80</sub> of curcumin against the tested *Candida* species were in the range of 32–128  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  (Table 1). Curcumin was found to be weaker when compared with amphotericin B or fluconazole. Although curcumin is a food component and amounts of up to 100 mg/day have been taken by certain people for long time<sup>(11)</sup>, it remains unknown whether curcumin could achieve the blood concentrations of 32–128  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  via oral or i.v. administration. Moreover, further *in vivo* studies are needed to prove the safety of curcumin at these concentrations. The interaction of curcumin with amphotericin B or fluconazole, determined as FIC index, is presented in Table 2. All interactions of curcumin and amphotericin B were synergistic because the FIC indexes were less than 1. Several interactions of curcumin with fluconazole were additive because the FIC indexes were equal to 1. These observed synergistic effects showed that the interaction of curcumin with either amphotericin B or fluconazole exhibited greater effect against *Candida* species. Both synergistic and additive effects observed in these combinations also suggest that the dosage of amphotericin B or fluconazole could be decreased. The interactions of curcumin with amphotericin B or fluconazole, determined as % reduction in turbidity, are presented in Tables 3 and 4. Many combinations of amphotericin B (or fluconazole) plus curcumin demonstrated  $\geq 80\%$  reduction in turbidity. In this study, the MIC of each agent against each tested fungi was defined as 80% reduction in turbidity. Therefore, the greater turbidity reduction observed in these combinations suggests that these combinations exhibited greater anti-*Candidal* effects than each

**Table 1.** MIC ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) of curcumin, amphotericin B (AMB) and fluconazole (FCZ) against *Candida* species

Fungal species (number of isolates)	Curcumin	AMB	FCZ
<i>C. albican</i> (52)	32 $\pm$ 2	0.125 $\pm$ 0.06	0.5 $\pm$ 0.5
<i>C. krusei</i> (30)	128 $\pm$ 8	1.0 $\pm$ 0.5	128.0 $\pm$ 16.0
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (27)	48 $\pm$ 2	0.125 $\pm$ 0.06	1.0 $\pm$ 0.25
<i>C. kefyr</i> (25)	96 $\pm$ 4	0.25 $\pm$ 0.125	4.0 $\pm$ 0.5
<i>C. guilliermondii</i> (21)	108 $\pm$ 8	0.5 $\pm$ 0.25	32.0 $\pm$ 2.0
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (20)	64 $\pm$ 4	0.25 $\pm$ 0.125	2.0 $\pm$ 0.5
<i>C. glabrata</i> (25)	80 $\pm$ 4	0.5 $\pm$ 0.25	16.0 $\pm$ 2.0

MIC was determined according to the macrodilution method recommended by NCCLS and was defined as 80% reduction in turbidity. The concentration is expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n=5).

**Table 2.** Interaction of curcumin with amphotericin B (AMB) or fluconazole (FCZ), determined as FIC index

Fungal species (number of isolates)	FIC			FIC		
	AMB	Curcumin	Index	FCZ	Curcumin	Index
<i>C. albicans</i> (52)	0.5	0.25	0.75	0.25	0.5	0.75
<i>C. krusei</i> (30)	0.75	0.125	0.875	0.5	0.5	1
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (27)	0.5	0.25	0.75	0.25	0.5	0.75
<i>C. kefyr</i> (25)	0.25	0.5	0.75	0.75	0.25	1
<i>C. guilliermondii</i> (21)	0.25	0.5	0.75	0.5	0.5	1
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (20)	0.5	0.25	0.75	0.25	0.5	0.75
<i>C. glabrata</i> (25)	0.5	0.25	0.75	0.25	0.625	0.875

The interaction of curcumin with AMB or FCZ was evaluated by the checkerboard method recommended by the NCCLS and expressed as the sum of fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index for each agent. The FIC of each agent is calculated as the MIC of this agent in combination divided by the MIC of this agent alone.

**Table 3.** Interaction of curcumin with amphotericin B (AMB), determined as % reduction in turbidity.

Fungal species (number of isolates)	AMB 0.75 MIC			AMB 0.5 MIC			AMB 0.25 MIC		
	Curcumin 0.0625 (MIC)	0.125	0.25	0.125	0.25	0.5	0.25	Curcumin 0.5	0.75
<i>C. albicans</i> (52)	80 ± 3	90 ± 2	97 ± 3	71 ± 4	80 ± 3	96 ± 2	64 ± 4	85 ± 2	96 ± 2
<i>C. krusei</i> (30)	68 ± 4	79 ± 3	90 ± 2	62 ± 5	77 ± 2	88 ± 2	57 ± 5	75 ± 3	89 ± 3
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (27)	78 ± 2	87 ± 2	96 ± 2	68 ± 4	79 ± 3	94 ± 2	67 ± 3	84 ± 2	95 ± 2
<i>C. kefyr</i> (25)	75 ± 3	84 ± 2	93 ± 2	67 ± 3	76 ± 3	91 ± 3	57 ± 5	81 ± 3	93 ± 3
<i>C. guilliermondii</i> (21)	70 ± 3	82 ± 3	92 ± 3	63 ± 2	74 ± 2	93 ± 2	55 ± 4	80 ± 5	90 ± 1
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (20)	78 ± 3	84 ± 2	95 ± 3	69 ± 4	80 ± 3	95 ± 2	62 ± 3	85 ± 3	94 ± 2
<i>C. glabrata</i> (25)	72 ± 4	82 ± 2	94 ± 2	66 ± 4	78 ± 3	92 ± 1	59 ± 4	83 ± 2	92 ± 3

AMB at 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 MIC was combined with curcumin at various MIC values. The total MIC values in each combination were  $\leq 1$ . The turbidity of each combination was measured and expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n=5).

**Table 4.** Interaction of curcumin with fluconazole (FCZ), determined as % reduction in turbidity

Fungal species (number of isolates)	FCZ 0.75 MIC			FCZ 0.5 MIC			FCZ 0.25 MIC		
	Curcumin 0.0625 (MIC)	0.125	0.25	0.125	0.25	0.5	0.25	Curcumin 0.5	0.75
<i>C. albicans</i> (52)	77 ± 3	85 ± 2	90 ± 3	68 ± 4	76 ± 3	91 ± 2	61 ± 4	82 ± 3	91 ± 2
<i>C. krusei</i> (30)	57 ± 4	73 ± 2	82 ± 2	53 ± 4	68 ± 2	80 ± 2	50 ± 5	71 ± 2	82 ± 1
<i>C. tropicalis</i> (27)	76 ± 4	84 ± 3	91 ± 3	64 ± 3	72 ± 2	87 ± 3	59 ± 3	78 ± 3	90 ± 3
<i>C. kefyr</i> (25)	73 ± 2	80 ± 2	88 ± 3	61 ± 3	69 ± 2	86 ± 3	54 ± 4	75 ± 3	87 ± 3
<i>C. guilliermondii</i> (21)	68 ± 3	76 ± 4	85 ± 2	59 ± 4	88 ± 3	85 ± 2	51 ± 2	74 ± 2	86 ± 2
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (20)	75 ± 3	80 ± 3	87 ± 3	67 ± 3	78 ± 2	88 ± 1	58 ± 2	83 ± 2	88 ± 3
<i>C. glabrata</i> (25)	70 ± 3	78 ± 2	86 ± 2	65 ± 4	75 ± 2	86 ± 2	53 ± 3	80 ± 3	87 ± 2

FCZ at 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 MIC was combined with curcumin at various MIC values. The total MIC values in each combination were  $\leq 1$ . The turbidity of each combination was measured and expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n=5).

agent at 1 MIC. The various combinations included 0.75 MIC AMB (or FCZ) plus 0.25 MIC curcumin; 0.5 MIC AMB (or FCZ) plus 0.5 MIC curcumin; 0.25 MIC AMB (or FCZ) plus 0.75 MIC curcumin. It should be pointed out that the sum of MICs in the above combinations was  $\leq 1$ . Since these combinations offered a similar or greater inhibitory effect than 1 MIC AMB or 1 MIC FCZ, the use of these combinations not only enhanced the overall fungicidal effect but also lowered the dosage of AMB or FCZ, which could reduce the risk of drug-induced cytotoxicity. These advantages should be beneficial in the treatment of candidiasis or candidemia.

An interesting finding is that 0.75 MIC AMB plus 0.25 MIC curcumin, 0.5 MIC AMB plus 0.5 MIC curcumin, and 0.25 MIC AMB plus 0.75 MIC curcumin resulted in similar fungicidal effects; indicating these combinations resulted in

$\geq 85\%$  reduction in turbidity for *C. krusei* and  $\geq 90\%$  reduction in turbidity for other tested *Candida* species (Table 3). As shown in Table 4, 0.25 MIC FCZ plus 0.75 MIC curcumin also offered similar inhibitory effect as 0.25 MIC AMB plus 0.75 MIC curcumin. Accordingly, in order to decrease the side effects of AMB (or FCZ) and to enhance the overall fungicidal effect against these *Candida* species, 0.25 MIC AMB (or FCZ) plus 0.75 MIC curcumin would be the best choice for clinical use, since the dosage of AMB (or FCZ) was very low.

The fungal cytotoxicity of amphotericin B is due to the interaction of this drug with fungal membrane ergosterol over the mammalian cell counterpart, cholesterol<sup>(6)</sup>. Like other azole compounds, the fungal cytotoxicity of fluconazole results from its binding to cytochrome p-450 molecules

involved in the synthesis of fungal ergosterol<sup>(20,21)</sup>. The failure of ergosterol synthesis then leads to the death of fungi. It has been reported that the anti-tumor effect of curcumin was due to the fact that this agent blocked arachidonic acid metabolism by inhibiting cyclooxygenase and/or lipoxygenase activities<sup>(22,23)</sup>. The action mode of curcumin against fungi might be also due to its enzyme inhibitory effects, which is apparently different from that of amphotericin B. This different action mode of curcumin from amphotericin B could account in part for the enhanced inhibitory effect observed in these combinations. Nevertheless, it is not the only determinant because the effect of combined therapy was not simply additive. Further study is necessary to elucidate the fungicidal mechanism when these two agents cooperate.

Pharmacokinetic studies have indicated that following oral administration to rats and humans, curcumin was poorly absorbed and was transformed into metabolites during absorption through the intestine<sup>(24)</sup>. The major metabolites of curcumin in mice are curcumin glucuronide, dihydrocurcumin glucuronide, tetrahydrocurcumin<sup>(25)</sup>. It remains unknown whether these metabolites still possess antifungal activity like curcumin. However, the work of Shoba *et al.*<sup>(26)</sup> reported that piperine (20 mg), a major component of black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.), remarkably enhanced the bioavailability of curcumin in humans with no adverse effects. Therefore, when curcumin is orally administered as an antifungal agent, the concomitant use of piperine might be considered. Otherwise, i.v. administration of curcumin should be a better route for its efficacy because amphotericin B or fluconazole could be administered via this method.

In conclusion, the combination of curcumin with amphotericin B or fluconazole exhibited a stronger fungicidal activity than monotherapy with curcumin, amphotericin B or fluconazole, respectively. The enhanced fungicidal effect observed in combined therapy suggests that the interactions between curcumin and these two agents were more than additive. These results suggest that the combined therapy of curcumin with one of these two agents may benefit the treatment of clinical fungal infections.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by a grant from the National Science Council, ROC [NSC 89-2320-B-040-023].

### REFERENCES

1. Beck, S. and Jarvis, W. R. 1993. The national nosocomial infections surveillance system: secular trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial infections in the United States, 1980-1990. *J. Infect. Dis.* 167: 147-151.
2. Meunier, F. 1989. Candidiasis. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 8: 438-477.
3. Saral, R. 1991. *Candida* and *Aspergillus* infections in immunocompromised patients: An overview. *Rev Infect. Dis.* 13: 487-492.
4. Ang, B. S. P., Telenti, A. and King, B. 1993. Candidemia from a urinary tract source; microbiological aspects and clinical significance. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 7: 662-666.
5. Chabot, G. G., Pazdur, R., Valeriote, F. A. and Baker, L.H. 1989. Pharmacokinetics and toxicity of continuous infusion of amphotericin B in cancer patients. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 78: 307-310.
6. Hartsel, S. and Bolard, J. 1996. Amphotericin B: new life for an old drug. *Trends Pharm. Sci.* 17: 445-449.
7. Rex, J. H., Bennett, J. E. and Sugar, A. M. 1994. A randomized trial comparing fluconazole with amphotericin B for the treatment of candidemia in patients without neutropenia. *New England J. Med.* 331: 1325-1330.
8. Bodey, G. P., Anaissie, E. J. and Elting, L. S. 1994. Antifungal prophylaxis during remission induction therapy for acute leukemia fluconazole versus intravenous amphotericin B. *Cancer* 73: 2099-2106.
9. Rex, J. H., Rinaldi, M. G. and Pfaller, M. A. 1995. Resistance of *Candida* species to fluconazole. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 39: 1-8.
10. Masuda, T., Jitoe, A., Isobe, J. and Nakatani, N. 1993. Antioxidative and antiinflammatory curcumin-related phenolics from rhizomes of *Curcuma domestica*. *Phytochemistry* 32: 1557-1560.
11. Govindarajan, V. S. 1980. Turmeric-chemistry, technology and quality. *CRC Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 12: 199-301.
12. Mukhopadhyay, A., Basu, N., Ghatak, N. and Gujral, P.K. 1982. Anti-inflammatory and irritant activities of curcumin analogues in rats. *Agents Actions* 12: 508-512.
13. Conney, A. H., Lysz, T., Ferraro, T. and Abidi, T. F. 1991. Inhibitory effect of curcumin and some related dietary compounds on tumour promotion and arachidonic acid metabolism in mouse skin. *Avd. Enzyme. Regul.* 31: 385-396.
14. Kelloff, G. J., Boone, C. W., Crowell, J. A., Steele, V. E., Luber, R. and Sigman, C. C. 1994. Chemopreventive drug development: Perspective and progress. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers. Prev.* 3: 85-98.
15. Li, C. J., Zhang, L. J., Dezube, B. J., Crumpacker, C. S. and Pardee, A. B. 1993. Three inhibitors of type 1 human immunodeficiency virus long terminal repeat-directed gene expression and virus replication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90: 1839-1842.
16. Warren, N. G. and Hazen, K. C. 1995. *Candida*, *Cryptococcus* and other yeasts of medical importance. In "Manual of Clinical Microbiology". 6th ed. pp. 723-737. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C. U.S.A.
17. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1997. Reference methods for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts. Standards M27-A. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, PA. U.S.A.
18. Marco, F., Pfaller, M. A., Messer, S. and Jones, R.N. 1998. *In vitro* activities of voriconazole (UK-109,496) and four other antifungal agents against 394 clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 42:

- 161-163.
19. Bourne, K. Z., Bourne, N., Reising, S. F. and Stanberry, L. R. 1999. Plant products as topical microbicide candidates: assessment of in vitro and in vivo activity against herpes simplex virus type 2. *Antiviral Res.* 42: 219-226.
  20. Van den Bossche, H., Marichal, P., Gorrens, J., Coene, M. C., Willemsens, G., Bellens, D., Roels, I., Moereels, H. and Janssen, P. A. J. 1989. Biochemical approaches to selective antifungal activity. Focus on azole antifungals. *Mycoses* 32: 35-52.
  21. Joly, V., Bolard, J. and Yeni, P. 1992. In vitro models for studying toxicity of antifungal agents. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 39: 1799-1804.
  22. Huang, M. T., Smart, R. C., Wong, C. Q. and Conney, A. H. 1988. Inhibitory effect of curcumin, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid and ferulic acid on tumor promotion in mouse skin by 12-O-teradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate. *Cancer Res.* 48: 5941-5946.
  23. Hoult, J. R. S., Moroney, M. A. and Paya, M. 1994. Action of flavonoids and coumarins on lipoxygenases and cyclooxygenase. *Methods Enzymol.* 234: 443-455.
  24. Ammon, H. P. and Wahl, M. A. 1991. Pharmacology of *Curcuma longa*. *Planta Med.* 57: 1-7.
  25. Pan, M. H., Huang, T. M. and Lin, J.K. 1999. Biotransformation of curcumin through reduction and glucuronidation in mice. *Drug Metabolism Disposition* 27:486-494.
  26. Shoba, G., Joy, D., Joseph, T., Majeed, M., Rajendran, R. and Srinivas, P. 1998. Influence of piperine on the pharmacokinetics of curcumin in animals and human volunteers. *Planta Med.* 64: 353-356.

## 薑黃素與 Amphotericin B 或 Fluconazole 共同使用增強抑制念珠菌之功效

曹世明<sup>1</sup> 殷梅津<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> 中山醫學院附設醫院內科

<sup>2</sup> 中山醫學院營養系

台中市建國北路一段110號

### 摘 要

七種院內感染的念珠菌（共200隻取自臨床黴菌感染病患的菌株）被使用來探討薑黃素的單獨抑菌能力，及其與 amphotericin B 或 fluconazole 共同使用時的抑菌效果。此一共同使用時的抑菌效果以 FIC index 及 turbidity 降低的%來表示。結果發現，薑黃素對這七種念珠菌的最低抑制濃度為 32-128  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ 。薑黃素與 amphotericin B 共同使用時則表現出加乘效果；而薑黃素與 fluconazole 共同使用時，對某些菌表現出加乘效果，但是對某些菌卻表現出加成效果。由於薑黃素與 amphotericin B 或 fluconazole 共同使用時可以因這些加乘或加成效果而減少 amphotericin B 或 fluconazole 的使用劑量，如此也可降低因這兩種藥物所誘發的副作用。本研究結果支持薑黃素與 amphotericin B 或 fluconazole 共同使用將有助於院內念珠菌感染的治療。

關鍵詞：薑黃素， amphotericin B， fluconazole， 念珠菌



1 *In Vitro* Anti-MRSA and Antifungal Activities of Four Diallyl Sulfides Naturally  
2 Occurring in Garlic and Chinese Leek Oils

3

4

5

6

7 Shyh-ming Tsao<sup>1</sup> and Mei-chin Yin<sup>2</sup>

8 <sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Chungshan Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, R.O.C.

9 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Nutritional Science, Chungshan Medical & Dental College, Taichung, Taiwan,  
10 R.O.C.

11

12

13

14

15

16 Corresponding Author: Dr. Mei-chin Yin, Professor, Institute of Nutritional Science,  
17 Chungshan Medical & Dental College, No. 110, Sec. 1, Chien Kuo N. Rd., Taichung, Taiwan,  
18 R. O. C.

19 TEL: +4-4730022 ext. 1753

20 FAX: +4-4739030

21 email: [mcyin@mercury.csmc.edu.tw](mailto:mcyin@mercury.csmc.edu.tw)

22 running title: antimicrobial activity of four diallyl sulfides

1 Abstract

2 *In vitro* antimicrobial activities of garlic oil, Chinese leek oil and four diallyl sulfides naturally  
3 occurring in these oils against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), three  
4 *Candida* and three *Aspergillus* species (total 236 clinical isolates) were studied. Both anti-  
5 MRSA and antifungal activities of four diallyl sulfides followed the order diallyl tetrasulfide >  
6 diallyl trisulfide > diallyl disulfide > diallyl monosulfide ( $p < 0.05$ ). These results suggested  
7 that the involvement of disulfide bond is an important factor in determining the antimicrobial  
8 capabilities of these sulfides. The concentration of four diallyl sulfides in garlic and Chinese  
9 leek oils was in the range of 52.7~41.7% of total sulfides. Garlic oil with higher  
10 concentration of four diallyl sulfides showed greater antimicrobial activity than Chinese leek  
11 oil ( $p < 0.05$ ). These results provided laboratory evidence to support that diallyl disulfide,  
12 diallyl trisulfide, diallyl tetrasulfide and the oils rich in these sulfides may contribute to the  
13 prevention or treatment for nosocomial MRSA or fungal infections.

14

15

16 Keywords: MRSA, fungal infection, garlic oil, diallyl trisulfide, diallyl tetrasulfide

## 1 Introduction

2 Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species  
3 were the most commonly identified bacterial and fungal species responsible for the severe  
4 nosocomial infections occurred in Taiwan [1-3]. These infections not only require  
5 expensive antibiotic treatments but also increase the morbidity and mortality in  
6 hospitalized patients. In order to control these infections, there is a need for other agents  
7 with greater antimicrobial activity and less toxicity.

8 The antimicrobial activity and other medical benefits of garlic oil have been widely  
9 recognized [4-6]. These benefits were attributed to the presence of sulfides in garlic oil [5, 6].  
10 Based on the advantages of easy to obtain or prepare as well as good stability, the medical  
11 properties of diallyl monosulfide and/or diallyl disulfide has been focused in many studies [7-  
12 10]. The chemical analysis of garlic oil showed that 54.5% of total sulfides were the sum of  
13 diallyl monosulfide, diallyl disulfide, diallyl trisulfide and diallyl tetrasulfide [11]. Although  
14 diallyl trisulfide and diallyl tetrasulfide were 26.6% of total sulfides in garlic oil, so far, little  
15 attention was paid to the medical benefits of these two agents.

16 The inhibitory effect of diallyl disulfide, not diallyl monosulfide, against *Candida albican*  
17 was observed in an *in vitro* study [12]. However, the information regarding the anti-  
18 *Aspergillus* and anti-MRSA activities of these two agents is limited. The inhibitory effect of  
19 garlic extract against wild type *Staphylococcus aureus* was observed [13]. However, it  
20 remains unknown whether garlic oil can inhibit MRSA and fungal pathogens. If so, which  
21 compounds in garlic oil responsible for this effect.

22 Like garlic, Chinese leek is a member of *Allium* family; and it is a vegetable commonly used  
23 in the oriental society. Besides garlic bulb and onion bulb, little attention was paid to the

1 medical contribution of other *Allium* plants. Recently, the antioxidant and antifungal  
2 activities of water extracts from Chinese leek and other *Allium* plants have been studied in our  
3 laboratory [14, 15]. Therefore, a continuous work regarding the antimicrobial activities of  
4 essential oils prepared from garlic and Chinese leek was processed.

5 This study was aimed to examine and compare the anti-MRSA and antifungal activities of  
6 four diallyl sulfides naturally occurring in garlic and Chinese leek oils. The results would be  
7 helpful for the development of new antibiotic agents or new functional foods.

8  
9 **Materials and methods.**

#### 10 *Sample preparation*

11 Garlic bulb (*Allium sativum* L.) and Chinese leek (*Allium odorum* L.) were directly  
12 purchased from farms. The method of Ravid and Putievsky [16] was used to prepare  
13 essential oil. Fresh plant materials were steam-distilled for 3 h in a 100 L direct steam  
14 pilot plant apparatus. The recovered oil (2.2~4.3 g oil / kg garlic bulb; 1.1~3.5 g oil / kg  
15 Chinese leek) was stored at -80°C until used.

#### 16 *Standard preparation*

17 Diallyl monosulfide (purity 97%) and crude diallyl disulfide (purity 80%) were purchased  
18 from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI). Diallyl disulfide was further purified by  
19 fractional distillation and its final purity was > 98%, which was examined by HPLC.  
20 Diallyl trisulfide and diallyl tetrasulfide were obtained by fractional distillation from  
21 crude diallyl disulfide. The identification of diallyl trisulfide and diallyl tetrasulfide was  
22 confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) and it was coincide with the  
23 published data of Sparnins et al [17]. The prepared standards was stored at -80°C until  
24 used.

1 *Analysis of four diallyl sulfides in garlic and Chinese leek oil*

2 One mg of essential oil prepared from each plant was redissolved in 10 ml of acetonitrile  
3 right before composition analysis. The method of Lawson et al. [11] was used to  
4 analyze the content of four diallyl sulfides in oils. Two  $\mu$ l sample was injected into  
5 C18-HPLC, which was conditioned as follow. Supelco LC-18, 250 mm x 4.6 mm x 5  
6  $\mu$ m column, acetonitrile/water/tetrahydrofuran (70/27/3), 1 ml/min, 240 nm. Prepared  
7 standards were used to identify and quantify these diallyl sulfides in oils prepared from  
8 garlic and Chinese leek.

9 *Strains and medium*

10 Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*, three *Candida* species (*Candida albican*, *C. Krusei*, *C.*  
11 *glabrata*) and three *Aspergillus* species (*Aspergillus niger*, *A. flavus*, *A. fumigatus*) were  
12 isolated from patients with MRSA and/or fungal infections (candidiasis or aspergillosis)  
13 in Chungshan Hospital (Taichung, Taiwan). The total clinical isolates of MRSA and  
14 fungi were 60 and 176, respectively in this study. All isolates were identified by  
15 conventional methods [18]. All cultures were routinely maintained on nutrient agar or  
16 Sabouraud dextrose agar (Difco, Detroit, MI) at 25°C until used.

17 *Anti-MRSA tests*

18 Prepared standards of diallyl monosulfide, diallyl disulfide, diallyl trisulfide, diallyl  
19 tetrasulfide and two essential oils were used for anti-MRSA test. Methicillin, penicillin,  
20 cefotaxime and tetracycline were purchased from Sigma Chem. Co. (St. Louis).  
21 Microdilution MIC was determined with strains grown in cation-adjust Mueller-Hinton  
22 broth according to National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS)  
23 guidelines [19]. The agent concentrations ranged from 128 to 0.125  $\mu$ g/ml. MIC80, at

1 which 80% was inhibited, was determined. All incubations were at 35 °C. Clavulanic  
2 acid at the concentration of 2 mg/L in the medium was used to verify the involvement of  
3  $\beta$ -lactamase activity in these clinical isolates.

#### 4 *Antifungal tests*

5 Prepared standards of diallyl monosulfide, diallyl disulfide, diallyl trisulfide, diallyl  
6 tetrasulfide and essential oils were also used for antifungal assay. Four diallyl sulfides  
7 and two essential oils were diluted 10-fold in polyethylene because of the poor solubility  
8 of these agents. All agents were further diluted 1:5 in RPMI 1640 medium. The broth  
9 macrodilution method was performed as described in NCCLs document M27-A [20].  
10 The agent concentrations ranged from 128 to 0.125  $\mu$ g/ml. Agent-free and fungi-free  
11 controls were included. The turbidity were measured by a spectrophotometer at 530 nm  
12 after 48 h incubation at 35 °C in RPMI 1640 medium containing 0.165 M  
13 morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) (pH 7.0). The MIC was defined as the  
14 concentration which produced 80% reduction in turbidity, compared with that of agent-  
15 free controls after 48 h incubation in RPMI 1640 medium. Amphotericin B, purchased  
16 from Sigma Chem. Co. (St. Louis), was used for comparison in this antifungal test.  
17 Isolates were classified as susceptible if the MIC was  $\leq$  8  $\mu$ g/ml; resistant, if the MIC  
18 was  $>$  64  $\mu$ g/ml.

#### 19 *Statistical analysis*

20 MIC was expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of five experiments (n=5). Data were  
21 treated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and computed using the SAS General Model  
22 procedure [21]. Difference among means was determined by the Least Significance  
23 Difference Test with significance defined at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

## Results

The content of diallyl monosulfide, diallyl disulfide, diallyl trisulfide, diallyl tetrasulfide in garlic and Chinese leek oils is present in Table 1. The concentration of the four diallyl sulfides in garlic oil was higher than that in Chinese leek oil ( $p < 0.05$ ). The MIC values of four antibiotics, garlic oil, Chinese leek oil and four diallyl sulfides against 40 wild type *S. aureus* and 60 MRSA are present in Table 2. All test agents can inhibit the growth of wild type *S. aureus*, in which four antibiotics showed greater anti-*S. aureus* effects than two essential oils and four diallyl sulfides. However, the MIC values of four antibiotics (methicillin, penicillin, cefotaxime and tetracycline) against MRSA were  $> 64 \mu\text{g/ml}$ ; and diallyl disulfide, diallyl trisulfide and diallyl tetrasulfide showed greater inhibitory effects than these antibiotics. The MIC values of two essential oils and four diallyl sulfides against six fungal pathogens are present in Table 3. The MIC values of amphotericin B against the 176 clinical fungal isolates were in the range of  $0.25\sim 4 (\mu\text{g/ml})$  (data not shown). Therefore, the 176 fungal pathogens were not amphotericin B-resistant. Two essential oils, diallyl monosulfide and diallyl disulfide showed less antifungal activities than amphotericin B; however, diallyl trisulfide and diallyl tetrasulfide showed similar inhibitory effects as amphotericin B.

## Discussion

It is reported that garlic possessed anti-*S. aureus* effect [13]. The results of the present study extended the antimicrobial activity of garlic oil to MRSA and six medically important fungi. Furthermore, the essential oil prepared from the other member in *Allium* family,

1 Chinese leek, also possessed similar antimicrobial capabilities. The MIC values of garlic and  
2 Chinese leek oils against MRSA and six fungal pathogens were < 64 µg/ml (Tables 2 and 3);  
3 therefore, these two oils could be considered as potent functional foods for clinical MRSA and  
4 fungal infection's prevention or therapy. Further study is necessary to examine the recover  
5 rate and concentration in circulation after these two agents are admitted orally.

6 Lawson et al. [11] reported that the sum of diallyl monosulfide, disulfide, trisulfide and  
7 tetrasulfide in garlic oil was 54.5% of total sulfides. In our present study, similar method was  
8 used to quantify the four diallyl sulfides and found that the sum of these sulfides in garlic oil  
9 was 52.7%, which was close to that of Lawson et al. [11]. Our present study also extended  
10 the four diallyl sulfides analysis to Chinese leek oil and conferred that these two oils contained  
11 high concentrations of these four diallyl sulfides. It should be pointed out that the  
12 concentration of diallyl monosulfide in these oils is very low (2.6~2.0 %) and the antimicrobial  
13 activity of this agent is not marked (Tables 2 and 3). Apparently, the contribution of this  
14 agent to the overall antimicrobial activities of these oils was mild. The concentration of other  
15 three diallyl sulfides (diallyl disulfide + diallyl trisulfide + diallyl tetrasulfide) in garlic oil was  
16 still significantly higher than Chinese leek oil. This may explain why garlic oil showed  
17 greater antimicrobial activities than Chinese leek oil in this study.

18 It is known that *S. aureus* can produce a serine protease called β-lactamase, and this enzyme  
19 can inactivate penicillin by hydrolyzing the β-lactam ring of this antibiotic [22, 23]. In our  
20 present study, β-lactamase was present in all clinical MRSA isolates. This may explain the  
21 resistance of these isolates to β-lactam agents such as penicillin, cefotaxime and tetracyclines.  
22 On the other hand, it is indicated that the resistance to methicillin confers resistance to all  
23 penicillinase-resistant penicillins and cephalosporins; and this resistance is due to the presence



1 of the *mec* gene that encodes penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) [24, 25]. So far, altered  
2 forms of PBPs such as PBPs 1a, 2b have been implicated in the development of penicillin and  
3 cephalosporin resistance [24, 26]. In our present study, the six test agents showed weaker  
4 inhibitory effects against 40 wild type *S. aureus* than four antibiotics; however, showed greater  
5 inhibitory effects against MRSA than these antibiotics. Although it remains uncertain that the  
6 penicillin-binding proteins of these MRSA were denatured or destroyed by these six agents, it  
7 is sure that these six agents are not the substrate of  $\beta$ -lactamase. The MIC values of these  
8 agents against MRSA were significantly higher than against wild type *S. aureus*. Apparently,  
9 the presence of  $\beta$ -lactamase and/or penicillin-binding proteins in these MRSA also increased  
10 the bactericidal difficulty for these agents.

11 The inhibitory effect of diallyl monosulfide and diallyl disulfide against *Klebsiella*  
12 *pneumoniae*, an opportunist pathogen for nosocomial infection, has been observed [27].  
13 These authors indicated that this inhibitory effect was due to the fact that these agents inhibit  
14 arylamine N-acetyltransferase activity of this organism, in which diallyl disulfide showed  
15 greater inhibitory effect than diallyl monosulfide. In the study of Naganawa et al. [12], the  
16 anti-*C. albican* activity of diallyl disulfide was significantly greater than diallyl monosulfide,  
17 too. These authors indicated that the disulfide bond of diallyl disulfide was important for its  
18 antifungal activity. In the present study, both diallyl monosulfide and diallyl disulfide showed  
19 anti-MRSA and antifungal effects, in which the antimicrobial activity of diallyl disulfide was  
20 also greater than diallyl monosulfide. Our results agreed those previous studies and supported  
21 that diallyl disulfide possesses stronger antimicrobial activity than diallyl monosulfide. The  
22 antifungal effect of diallyl trisulfide against *Cryptococcus neoformans* has been observed [28].  
23 Our present study extended the antimicrobial activity of this agent to MRSA, *Candida* and

1 *Aspergillus* species (Tables 2 and 3) and observed that the antimicrobial activity of diallyl  
2 trisulfide was greater than diallyl disulfide. Furthermore, diallyl tetrasulfide showed the  
3 greatest antimicrobial activity in our present study. The number of disulfide bond in the four  
4 diallyl sulfides is 0, 1, 2 and 3, respectively. It is likely that the anti-MRSA or antifungal  
5 activity is correlated with this disulfide bond; thus, more disulfide bonds, greater antimicrobial  
6 activities. These results supported that the disulfide bond in these sulfides was an important  
7 factor in determining the antimicrobial activities.

8 The MICs of diallyl disulfide, diallyl trisulfide and diallyl tetrasulfide against MRSA,  
9 *Candida* and *Aspergillus* spp. were  $\leq 12$   $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . Although these concentrations are higher  
10 than 8  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ , a breakpoint for susceptible, these agents are the components naturally occur in  
11 certain foods such as garlic and Chinese leek. Therefore, these agents at these concentrations  
12 may be still in the safe range. Further *in vivo* study is necessary to evaluate the clinical  
13 application of these three agents for controlling MRSA or fungal infections.

14 In conclusion, diallyl disulfide, diallyl trisulfide, diallyl tetrasulfide and essential oils rich in  
15 these three sulfides possessed strong antimicrobial activities. These diallyl sulfides or oils  
16 were potent agents to prevent or treat MRSA or fungal infections.

17

#### 18 Acknowledgement

19 This research was supported by a grant from the National Science Council, ROC [NSC 89-  
20 2320-B-040-023].

21

1 Table 1. Content<sup>a</sup> ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of four diallyl sulfides in garlic oils (GO) and Chinese leek oils  
 2 (CLO). Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n=5).

	GO	CLO
DAS <sup>b</sup>	112 $\pm$ 7	104 $\pm$ 11
DADS	1183 $\pm$ 42	943 $\pm$ 45
DAT	751 $\pm$ 24	494 $\pm$ 37
DATS	368 $\pm$ 19	341 $\pm$ 21
Sum <sup>c</sup>	2414 $\pm$ 227	1882 $\pm$ 187
Total sulfides	4581 $\pm$ 383	4508 $\pm$ 432
% <sup>d</sup>	52.7	41.7

4 <sup>a</sup>Limit of detection is 5  $\mu\text{g/g}$ .

5 <sup>b</sup>DAS=diallyl monosulfide; DADS=diallyl disulfide; DAT=diallyl trisulfide; DATS=diallyl  
 6 tetrasulfide.

7 <sup>c</sup>Sum = DAS + DADS + DAT + DATS.

8 <sup>d</sup>% = sum of four diallyl sulfides  $\times$  100 / total sulfides.

1 Table 2. Minimum inhibitory concentration ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) of four antibiotics, garlic oil, Chinese leek  
 2 oil and four diallyl sulfides against 40 wild type *S. aureus* and 60 MRSA. Data were  
 3 expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n=5).

Agents	<i>S. aureus</i>	MRSA
Methicillin	0.5 $\pm$ 0.25	> 64.0
Penicillin	0.5 $\pm$ 0.125	> 64.0
Cefotaxime	1.0 $\pm$ 0.25	> 64.0
Tetracycline	1.0 $\pm$ 0.25	> 64.0
Garlic oil	24.0 $\pm$ 4.0	32.0 $\pm$ 8.0
Chinese leek oil	36.0 $\pm$ 6.0	48.0 $\pm$ 8.0
Diallyl monosulfide	20.0 $\pm$ 4.0	32.0 $\pm$ 8.0
Diallyl disulfide	4.0 $\pm$ 1.0	12.0 $\pm$ 2.0
Diallyl trisulfide	2.0 $\pm$ 1.0	8.0 $\pm$ 2.0
Diallyl tetrasulfide	0.5 $\pm$ 0.125	2.0 $\pm$ 0.5

4

Table 3. Minimum inhibitory concentration ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) of garlic oil (GO), Chinese leek oil (CLO) and four diallyl sulfides<sup>a</sup> against three *Candida* and three *Aspergillus* species. Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n=5).

Specie (# of isolate)	GO	CLO	DAS	DADS	DAT	DATS
<i>C. albican</i> (39)	16.0 $\pm$ 2.0	24.0 $\pm$ 2.0	32.0 $\pm$ 4.0	4.0 $\pm$ 1.0	1.0 $\pm$ 0.25	0.5 $\pm$ 0.125
<i>C. Krusei</i> (27)	24.0 $\pm$ 4.0	48.0 $\pm$ 8.0	72.0 $\pm$ 8.0	12.0 $\pm$ 2.0	8.0 $\pm$ 1.0	4.0 $\pm$ 0.5
<i>C. glabrata</i> (25)	32.0 $\pm$ 4.0	40.0 $\pm$ 8.0	54.0 $\pm$ 8.0	8.0 $\pm$ 2.0	4.0 $\pm$ 1.0	2.0 $\pm$ 0.5
<i>A. niger</i> (31)	20.0 $\pm$ 2.0	32.0 $\pm$ 4.0	40.0 $\pm$ 4.0	8.0 $\pm$ 2.0	2.0 $\pm$ 0.5	1.0 $\pm$ 0.25
<i>A. flavus</i> (26)	40.0 $\pm$ 8.0	64.0 $\pm$ 8.0	64.0 $\pm$ 8.0	12.0 $\pm$ 4.0	4.0 $\pm$ 2.0	2.0 $\pm$ 1.0
<i>A. fumigatus</i> (28)	32.0 $\pm$ 4.0	56.0 $\pm$ 8.0	54.0 $\pm$ 4.0	12.0 $\pm$ 2.0	8.0 $\pm$ 2.0	4.0 $\pm$ 2.0

<sup>a</sup>DAS=diallyl monosulfide; DADS=diallyl disulfide; DAT=diallyl trisulfide; DATS=diallyl tetrasulfide.

## References

1. Chen ML, Chang SC, Pan HJ *et al.* Longitudinal analysis of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates at a teaching hospital in Taiwan. *J Formos Med Assoc* 1999; 98:426-432.
2. Chang SC, Hsu LY, Luh KT *et al.* Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *J Formos Med Assoc* 1988; 87:157-163.
3. Hung CC, Chen YC, Chang SC *et al.* Nosocomial candidemia in a university hospital in Taiwan. *J Formos Med Assoc* 1996; 95:19-28.
4. Yoshida S, Kasuga S, Ohta R *et al.* An organosulfur compound isolated from oil-macerated garlic extract, and its antimicrobial effect. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 1999; 63:588-590.
5. Agarwal KC. Therapeutic actions of garlic constituents. *Med Res Rev* 1996; 16:111-124.
6. Liu CT, Chen HW, Sheen LY *et al.* Effect of garlic oil on hepatic arachidonic acid content and immune response in rats. *J Agric Food Chem* 1998; 46:4642-4647.
7. Wargovich MJ. Diallyl sulfides, a flavor component of garlic inhibits dimethylhydrazine-induced colon cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 1987; 8:487-489.
8. Haber-Mignard D, Suschete M, Berges R, Astorg P, Siess MH. Inhibition of aflatoxin B1- and N-nitrosodiethylamine-induced liver preneoplastic foci in rats fed naturally occurring allyl sulfides. *Nutr Cancer* 1996; 25:61-70.
9. Dwivedi C, Abu-Ghazaleh A, Guenther J. Effects of diallyl sulfide and diallyl disulfide on cisplatin-induced changes in glutathione and glutathione-S-transferase activity. *Anticancer drugs* 1996; 7:792-794.
10. Harber D, Siess MH, Canivenc-Lavier MC *et al.* Differential effects of dietary diallyl sulfide and diallyl disulfide on rat intestinal and hepatic drug-metabolizing enzymes. *J*

*Toxicol Environ Health* 1995; 44:423-434.

11. Lawson LD, Wang ZY, Hughes BG. Identification and HPLC quantification of the sulfides and dialkenyl thiosulfinates in commercial garlic products. *Planta Med* 1991; 57:363-370.
12. Naganawa R, Iwata N, Ishikawa K, Fukuda H, Fujino T, Suzuki A. Inhibition of microbial growth by ajoene, a sulfur-containing compound derived from garlic. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1996; 62:4238-4242.
13. Gonzalez-Fandos E, Garcia-Lopez ML, Sierra ML, Otero A. Staphylococcal growth and enterotoxins (A-D) and thermonuclease synthesis in the presence of dehydrated garlic. *J Appl Bacteriol* 1994; 77:549-552.
14. Yin MC, Cheng WS. Antioxidant activity of several *Allium* members. *J Agric Food Chem* 1998; 46:4097-4101.
15. Yin MC, Tsao SM. Inhibitory effect of seven *Allium* plants upon three *Aspergillus* species. *Int J Food Microbiol* 1999; 49:49-56.
16. Ravid, U.; Putievsky, E. In Svendsen B, Scheffer JJC. (eds) *Essential Oils and Aromatic Plants*. Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht, The Netherlands 1985:155-161.
17. Sparnins VL, Barany G, Wattenberg LW. Effects of organosulfur compounds from garlic and onions on benzopyrene-induced neoplasia and glutathione S-transferase activity in the mouse. *Carcinogenesis* 1988; 9:131-134.
18. Warren NG, Hazen KC. *Candida, Cryptococcus* and other yeast of medical importance. In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Pfaller MA, Tenover FC, Tenover RH. (eds) *Manual of clinical microbiology*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C. 1995:723-737.
19. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Ninth informational supplement M100-S9. National

- Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, PA. 1999.
20. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards Documents M27. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, PA. 1995.
  21. SAS SAS User's Guide: Statistics; SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC 1990.
  22. Lowry FD. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 20:520-532.
  23. Coffey TJ, Dowson CG, Daniels J *et al.* Genetics and molecular biology of  $\beta$ -lactam-resistant pneumococci. *Microb Drug Resist* 1995; 1:29-34.
  24. Hiramatsu K, Hanaki H, Ino T *et al.* Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical strain with reduced vancomycin susceptibility. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1997;40:135-136
  25. Schaberg DR, Zervos MJ. Intergeneric and interspecies gene exchange in gram-positive cocci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1986; 30:817-822.
  26. Chambers HF. Methicillin resistance in staphylococci: molecular and biochemical basis and clinical implication. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1997; 10:781-791.
  27. Chen GW, Chung JG, Ho HC, Lin JG. Effects of the garlic compounds diallyl sulphide and diallyl disulphide on arylamine N-acetyltransferase activity in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *J Appl Toxicol* 1999; 19:75-81.
  28. Shen J, Davis LE, Wallace JM, Cai Y, Lawson LD. Enhanced diallyl trisulfide has *in vitro* synergy with amphotericin B against *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Planta Med* 1996; 62:415-418.